

Celia Chang

Interview Summary

Application No.

O9/760,590

Applicant(s)

Kesseler

Examiner

Art Unit

1625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) Celia Chang (3) Balaram Gupta
(2) Lawrence Martin 3 m (4) Ross J. Oehler
Date of Interview Apr 1, 2003
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \square Yes e) \boxtimes No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 10-19
Identification of prior art discussed: Kim et al. US 5,908,934
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
The examiner explained the rejections and discussed posibilities of using factual evidence in an 132 affidavit to obviate
the obviousness case. Applicants will considered offering 132 affidavit with factual evidence in their response.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
i) X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST

CELIA CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1625

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached